Algorithms for Similarity Search

> Yury Lifshits Yahoo! Research

ESSCASS 2010

#### Outline

#### **Similarity Search**

- High-level overview
- 2 Locality-sensitive hashing
- Combinatorial approach

#### **Content Optimization**

- High-level overview
- Explore/exploit for Yahoo! frontpage
- Ediscope project for social engagement analysis

#### Similarity Search: an Example

Input: Set of objects Task: Preprocess it









#### Similarity Search: an Example

Input: Set of objects Task: Preprocess it









Query: New object Task: Find the most similar one in the dataset



#### Similarity Search: an Example

Input: Set of objects Task: Preprocess it











Query: New object Task: Find the most similar one in the dataset



#### More Formally

Search space: object domain  $\mathbb{U}$ , similarity function  $\sigma$ Input: database  $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{U}$ Query:  $q \in \mathbb{U}$ Task: find  $\operatorname{argmax}_{p_i} \sigma(p_i, q)$ 



#### More Formally

Search space: object domain  $\mathbb{U}$ , similarity function  $\sigma$ Input: database  $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{U}$ Query:  $q \in \mathbb{U}$ Task: find  $\operatorname{argmax}_{p_i} \sigma(p_i, q)$ 



#### More Formally

Search space: object domain  $\mathbb{U}$ , similarity function  $\sigma$ Input: database  $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{U}$ Query:  $q \in \mathbb{U}$ Task: find  $\operatorname{argmax}_{p_i} \sigma(p_i, q)$ 



# Applications (1/5) Information Retrieval

- Content-based retrieval (magnetic resonance images, tomography, CAD shapes, time series, texts)
- Spelling correction
- Geographic databases (post-office problem)
- Searching for similar DNA sequences
- Related pages web search
- Semantic search, concept matching

# Applications (2/5) Machine Learning

- kNN classification rule: classify by majority of k nearest training examples. E.g. recognition of faces, fingerprints, speaker identity, optical characters
- Nearest-neighbor interpolation

# Applications (3/5) Data Mining

- Near-duplicate detection
- Plagiarism detection
- Computing co-occurrence similarity (for detecting synonyms, query extension, machine translation...)

# Applications (3/5) Data Mining

- Near-duplicate detection
- Plagiarism detection
- Computing co-occurrence similarity (for detecting synonyms, query extension, machine translation...)

Key difference: Mostly, off-line problems

# Applications (4/5) Bipartite Problems

- Recommendation systems (most relevant movie to a set of already watched ones)
- Personalized news aggregation (most relevant news articles to a given user's profile of interests)
- Behavioral targeting (most relevant ad for displaying to a given user)

# Applications (4/5) Bipartite Problems

- Recommendation systems (most relevant movie to a set of already watched ones)
- Personalized news aggregation (most relevant news articles to a given user's profile of interests)
- Behavioral targeting (most relevant ad for displaying to a given user)

#### Key differences:

Query and database objects have different nature Objects are described by features and connections

# Applications (5/5) As a Subroutine

- Coding theory (maximum likelihood decoding)
- MPEG compression (searching for similar fragments in already compressed part)
- Clustering

### Variations of the Computation Task

#### **Additional requirements:**

• Dynamic nearest neighbors: moving objects, deletes/inserts, changing similarity function

### Variations of the Computation Task

#### **Additional requirements:**

• Dynamic nearest neighbors: moving objects, deletes/inserts, changing similarity function

#### **Related problems:**

- Nearest neighbor: nearest museum to my hotel
- Reverse nearest neighbor: all museums for which my hotel is the nearest one
- Range queries: all museums up to 2km from my hotel
- Closest pair: closest pair of museum and hotel
- Spatial join: pairs of hotels and museums which are at most 1km apart
- Multiple nearest neighbors: nearest museums for each of these hotels
- Metric facility location: how to build hotels to minimize the sum of "museum — nearest hotel" distances

1908 Voronoi diagram

1967 kNN classification rule by Cover and Hart

- 1967 kNN classification rule by Cover and Hart
- 1973 Post-office problem posed by Knuth

- 1967 kNN classification rule by Cover and Hart
- 1973 Post-office problem posed by Knuth
- 1997 The paper by Kleinberg, beginning of provable upper/lower bounds

- 1967 kNN classification rule by Cover and Hart
- 1973 Post-office problem posed by Knuth
- 1997 The paper by Kleinberg, beginning of provable upper/lower bounds
- 2006 Similarity Search book by Zezula, Amato, Dohnal and Batko

- 1967 kNN classification rule by Cover and Hart
- 1973 Post-office problem posed by Knuth
- 1997 The paper by Kleinberg, beginning of provable upper/lower bounds
- 2006 Similarity Search book by Zezula, Amato, Dohnal and Batko
- 2008 First International Workshop on Similarity Search

- 1967 kNN classification rule by Cover and Hart
- 1973 Post-office problem posed by Knuth
- 1997 The paper by Kleinberg, beginning of provable upper/lower bounds
- 2006 Similarity Search book by Zezula, Amato, Dohnal and Batko
- 2008 First International Workshop on Similarity Search
- 2009 Amazon acquires SnapTell

## Ideal algorithm

- $+ \ \, {\rm Any} \ \, {\rm data} \ \, {\rm model}$
- + Any dimension
- + Any dataset
- + Near-linear space
- + Logarithmic search time
- + Exact nearest neighbor
- + Zero probability of error
- + Provable efficiency

#### Nearest Neighbors in Theory

Sphere Rectangle Tree Orchard's Algorithm k-d-B tree Geometric near-neighbor access tree Excluded middle vantage point forest mvp-tree Fixed-height fixed-queries tree AESA Vantage-point tree LAESA R\*-tree Burkhard-Keller tree BBD tree Navigating Nets Voronoi tree Balanced aspect ratio tree Metric tree vp<sup>s</sup>-tree M-tree Locality-Sensitive Hashing SS-tree R-tree Spatial approximation tree Multi-vantage point tree Bisector tree mb-tree Cover tree Hybrid tree Generalized hyperplane tree Slim tree Spill Tree Fixed queries tree X-tree k-d tree Balltree Quadtree Octree Post-office tree

## Theory: Four Techniques

#### Branch and bound



Mappings: LSH, random projections, minhashing



Greedy walks



Epsilon nets Works for small intrinsic dimension



#### Future of Similarity Search

- Cloud implementation
  - Hadoop stack
  - API (as in OpenCalais, Google Language API, WolframAlpha)
- Focus on memory

## **Locality Sensitive Hashing**

### LSH vs. Ideal algorithm

- Any data model
- Exact nearest neighbor
- Zero probability of error
- + Provable efficiency
- + Any dimension
- + Any dataset
- +\* Near-linear space
- $+^*$  Logarithmic search time

#### Approximate Algorithms

*c*-**Approximate** *r*-**range query:** if there at least one  $p \in S$ :  $d(q, p) \leq r$  return some p':  $d(q, p') \leq cr$ 



#### Approximate Algorithms

*c*-**Approximate** *r*-**range query:** if there at least one  $p \in S$ :  $d(q, p) \leq r$  return some p':  $d(q, p') \leq cr$ 



*c*-**Approximate nearest neighbor query:** return some  $p' \in S$ :  $d(p',q) \leq cr_{NN}$ , where  $r_{NN} = \min_{p \in S} d(p,q)$ Today we consider only range queries

### Today's Focus

#### Data model:

• *d*-dimensional Euclidean space:  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

## Today's Focus

#### Data model:

• *d*-dimensional Euclidean space:  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

#### Our goal: provable performance bounds

• Sublinear search time, near-linear preprocessing space

#### Today's Focus

#### Data model:

• *d*-dimensional Euclidean space:  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

#### Our goal: provable performance bounds

• Sublinear search time, near-linear preprocessing space

**Still an open problem:** approximate nearest neighbor search with logarithmic search and linear preprocessing

# Locality-Sensitive Hashing: General Scheme
## Definition of LSH

### Indyk&Motwani'98

# **Locality-sensitive hash family** $\mathcal{H}$ with parameters $(c, r, P_1, P_2)$ :

- If  $\|p-q\| \leq r$  then  $\mathscr{Pr}_{\mathcal{H}}[h(p) = h(q)] \geq P_1$
- If  $\|p-q\| \ge cr$  then  $\pounds r_{\mathcal{H}}[h(p) = h(q)] \le P_2$



## The Power of LSH

Notation: 
$$ho = rac{\log(1/P_1)}{\log(1/P_2)} < 1$$

#### Theorem

Any  $(c, r, P_1, P_2)$ -locality-sensitive hashing leads to an algorithm for c-approximate r-range search with (roughly)  $n^{\rho}$  query time and  $n^{1+\rho}$  preprocessing space

## The Power of LSH

Notation: 
$$ho = rac{\log(1/P_1)}{\log(1/P_2)} < 1$$

#### Theorem

Any  $(c, r, P_1, P_2)$ -locality-sensitive hashing leads to an algorithm for c-approximate r-range search with (roughly)  $n^{\rho}$  query time and  $n^{1+\rho}$  preprocessing space

Proof in the next four slides

# LSH: Preprocessing

Composite hash function:  $g(p) = \langle h_1(p), \ldots, h_k(p) \rangle$ 

Composite hash function:  $g(p) = \langle h_1(p), \ldots, h_k(p) \rangle$ 

Preprocessing with parameters L, k:

Choose at random L composite hash functions of k components each

Solution Hash every  $p \in S$  into buckets  $g_1(p), \ldots, g_L(p)$ 

Preprocessing space: O(Ln)

- Compute  $g_1(q), \ldots, g_L(q)$
- Go to corresponding buckets and explicitly check d(p,q) ≤?cr for every point there
- Stopping conditions: (1) we found a satisfying object or (2) we tried at least 3L objects

Search time is  $\mathcal{O}(L)$ 

### $1 - x \le e^{-x}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$

### $1 - x \le e^{-x}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$

In order to have probability of error at most  $\delta$  we set k, L such that:

- The expected number of *cr*-far objects to be tried is  $P_2^k Ln = L$
- The chance to never be hashed to the same bucket as q for a true *r*-neighbor  $(1 P_1^k)^L \le e^{-P_1^k L}$  is at most  $\delta$

#### $1 - x \le e^{-x}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$

In order to have probability of error at most  $\delta$  we set k, L such that:

- The expected number of *cr*-far objects to be tried is  $P_2^k Ln = L$
- The chance to never be hashed to the same bucket as q for a true *r*-neighbor  $(1 P_1^k)^L \le e^{-P_1^k L}$  is at most  $\delta$

Rewriting these constraints:

$$P_2^k n = 1 \qquad \qquad L = P_1^{-k} (-\log \delta)$$

$$P_2^k n = 1 \qquad \qquad L = P_1^{-k} (-\log \delta)$$

$$P_2^k n = 1 \qquad \qquad L = P_1^{-k} (-\log \delta)$$

Solution:

$$k = \frac{\log n}{\log(1/P_2)}$$

$$P_2^k n = 1 \qquad \qquad L = P_1^{-k} (-\log \delta)$$

Solution:

$$k = \frac{\log n}{\log(1/P_2)}$$

 $L = P_1^{-\frac{\log n}{\log(1/P_2)}} \log(1/\delta) = n^{\frac{\log(1/P_1)}{\log(1/P_2)}} \log(1/\delta) = n^{\rho} \log(1/\delta)$ 

$$P_2^k n = 1 \qquad \qquad L = P_1^{-k} (-\log \delta)$$

Solution:  $k = \frac{\log n}{\log(1/P_2)}$   $L = P_1^{-\frac{\log n}{\log(1/P_2)}} \log(1/\delta) = n^{\frac{\log(1/P_1)}{\log(1/P_2)}} \log(1/\delta) = n^{\rho} \log(1/\delta)$ 

Preprocessing space  $\mathcal{O}(Ln) \approx n^{1+\rho+o(1)}$ Search  $\mathcal{O}(L) \approx n^{\rho+o(1)}$ 

### LSH Based on *p*-Stable Distributions

Datar, Immorlica, Indyk and Mirrokni'04

$$h(p) = \lfloor \frac{p \cdot r}{w} + b \rfloor$$

r: random vector, every coordinate comes from N(0,1)
b: random shift from [0,1]
w: quantization width

### LSH Based on *p*-Stable Distributions

Datar, Immorlica, Indyk and Mirrokni'04

$$h(p) = \lfloor \frac{p \cdot r}{w} + b \rfloor$$

r: random vector, every coordinate comes from N(0,1)
b: random shift from [0,1]
w: quantization width

$$\rho(c) < \frac{1}{c}$$